Mechanism of the Powder Reaction between CuSO₄(s) and PbO (α and β): A Thermoanalytical and Mass Spectrometric Study

EMILIA GARCÍA-CLAVEL, ISABEL TEJEDOR TEJEDOR,* AND HERMÓGENES G. SANZ[†]

Sección de Termonanálisis y Reactividad de Sólidos, Departamento de Química Analítica del CSIC, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Ciudad Universitaria, Madrid-3, Spain

Received January 16, 1981; in revised form July 27, 1981

Thermoanalytical studies and isotopic analysis of oxygen have been used to establish the actual mechanism of the $\text{CuSO}_4/(\alpha,\beta)\text{PbO}$ 1/1 *M* exchange reaction (the reactants are fine powders). Whether SO₃ is or is not involved in the reaction process depends on the crystalline form of PbO, and in the case of α -PbO on the type of heating. Oxygen isotope analysis, carried out on reaction products when PbO is enriched in ¹⁸O and when gaseous SO₃ does not play any part in the process, shows that both cationic and oxygen transport are involved in the reaction mechanism.

Introduction

The reaction studied here belongs to the type of reactions between oxides and oxisalts, discovered by Hedvall and Heuberger (1), and correspond to the general formula:

$$AO + BXO_n \rightarrow BO + AXO_n$$
.

These solid-state reactions have been named exchange reactions and they occur at temperatures lower than the dissociation temperature of either of the reactants. However, when the difference between reaction and dissociation temperature is small, doubt arises about whether the reaction mechanism between these two solids proceeds by gas or ionic solid-solid transport. This point has caused great controversy over the real mechanism of these exchange reactions.

The exchange reaction being considered in this paper:

$$PbO + CuSO_4 \rightarrow PbSO_4 + CuO_4$$

is illustrative of this kind of controversy. For example, Tammann (2) established that this reaction is a solid-solid process, since the exchange reaction takes place between 495 and 700°C, whereas, according to Hedvall (3), the CuSO₄ decomposition starts at 660°C. On the other hand, Pozin *et al.* (4) investigated the reaction as a function of pressure and found, under isothermal (500°C) conditions, the reaction degree decreased with pressure. Furthermore, they observed that the reaction degree is the same in the presence or in the absence of an inert powder (Al₂O₃ or SiO₂) (5). They hypothesized from these results that PbSO₄

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed at: Water Chemistry Program, University of Wisconsin, 660 N. Park St., Madison, Wisc. 53706.

[†] Present address: División de Química Analítica, Junta de Energía Nuclear, Madrid-3, Spain.

formation was gas transport (SO_3) controlled.

In light of these findings it seemed interesting to study this reaction by thermoanalytical techniques and in a vacuum furnace (6). Since neither of the above authors reported the crystalline form (α or β) of PbO, both forms were used here. The objective is to show whether or not the reaction involves SO₃ and, if it does not, whether the reaction mechanism is characterized only by cationic transport in the reaction layer or whether oxygen ion transport is involved as well. The most suitable method to clarify this last point is to use PbO enriched with ¹⁸O and to measure the oxygen isotope ratio in the products of the reaction. This can be done by separating the two products of the reaction, CuO, PbSO₄, and converting the oxygen to CO₂. A mass spectrometer can then be used to measure easily the ¹⁸O levels in the liberated CO₂.

Experimental

Materials

All starting materials used for chemical preparations were reagent grade. $CuSO_4$ was obtained by heating the $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ to 300°C. β -PbO and α -PbO were prepared as previously described (7, 8). Labeled β -PbO containing 5.68-6.01% of ¹⁸O was obtained by mixing Miles-Seravac's β -PbO. Pure CO and Ar(99.995%) were used for reduction and inert atmosphere control, respectively. Equal molar mechanical mixtures of CuSO₄/ α -PbO ro β -PbO were used in these experiments.

Methods

DTA recordings were performed using an apparatus designed in this laboratory, with sintered alumina holders and chromel/alumel differential thermocouple, incorporating junctions inside the sample and reference; α -Al₂O₃ was used as reference. Samples were heated in air atmosphere at 150° C/h and 300° C/h.

Thermogravimetric experiments were performed with a Chevenard Thermobalance, model No. 39, equipped with an Adamel vertical furnace and a photographic recording device. Samples of about 300 mg were placed in porcelain crucibles and airheated at the rate of 300°C/h.

X-Ray powder diffraction served for structural characterization of reaction products when the powder reactant mixture is heated at different temperatures. Random powder samples were analyzed on an X-ray Philips diffractometer using $CuK\alpha$ radiation and a Ni filter.

In order to determine SO₃ involvement in the reaction process, the powder reactant mixture was heated in a vacuum furnace. This device is similar to the one designed by Burriel and García-Clavel (6), but made of materials suitable for higher temperatures. Powder samples (500 mg) were placed as a 2-mm-thick layer on the bottom of a porcelain filtering microcrucible. In this method, a strong stream of either air or Ar gas is passed through the sample and porous bottom of the crucible during heating. If decomposition of CuSO₄ occurs before reaction, the evolved gas would be partially removed before it has time to react. By analysis of SO₃ content on heated samples it is possible to determine whether the reaction mechanism is either solid-solid or gas solid.

Mass spectrometric studies were performed by means of a Consolidated Electrodynamics Corp. model 21-103 C mass spectrometer for gaseous samples, equipped with an electron bombardment ion source of 70 eV and 250°C. An ionizing current of 10 μ A was used. The pressure of the sample, measured with a micromanometer, ranged from 20 to 100 μ . The region of the spectrum used for calculation is one corresponding to CO⁺₂ ions.

 $CuSO_4/\beta$ -Pb¹⁸O exchange reaction was

carried out in the same type of microcrucible used in the vacuum furnace method (equal molar solid mixtures totaling 250 mg of CuSO₄/ β -PbO were used) inside a Pyrex glass bulb. When vacuum levels better than 0.1 μ were obtained in the bulb, sufficient argon gas was introduced to attain pressures close to ambient (500°C). The bulb was heated at a rate of 150°C/h up to 500°C and kept at this temperature for 5 hr.

To separate the reaction products, CuO and PbSO₄, the reacted mass was divided into two aliquots, in one of them, CuO is removed; in the other, PbSO₄. To eliminate CuO, the solid is dissolved in HNO_3 (conc.) and then PbSO₄ is precipitated from solution by adding ethyl alcohol. After filtration, the PbSO₄ precipitate was rinsed with distilled water until the wash liquid was free of NO₃. Drying operations were carried out under vacuum at room temperature. The second aliquot of the reacted mass was treated with 3M NaNO₂ aqueous solution, which dissolves $PbSO_4$ (9). The CuO was washed, first with NaNO₂ solution and then with distilled water until the wash liquid showed no reaction with Griess reagent. Spectroscopic analysis of this copper oxide gave a Pb content smaller than 0.1%.

The reduction reactions of $PbSO_4$ and CuO were carried out in Pyrex glass bulbs using carbon black and CsCl (as a catalyst) to reduce $PbSO_4$, pure carbon monoxide was used to reduce CuO. Vacuum better than 0.1 *M* was obtained in both the bulb containing $PbSO_4$ with carbon (C) as the reductant and the one with CuO, which was later filled with CO as the reductant. The bulbs were then flame sealed. Bulbs containing CO_2 , produced in the reaction, were



FIG. 1. TG curve of CuSO₄/PbO (α and β), weight of sample 298 mg.

attached to the mass spectrometer inlet system. These were then broken with a magnetic hammer allowing the gas to escape to the spectrometer.

Results and Discussion

Thermogravimetric Analysis

The recorded thermogravimetric curve in air, Fig. 1, for mixtures of CuSO₄ with either β - or α -PbO is a horizontal line from room temperature to 900°C. Decomposition then sets in abruptly and continues to 1075°C. The weight loss at this temperature corresponds to a higher value than would otherwise be measured if the loss were due solely to SO_3 . The additional loss of weight is due to the inevitable disappearance of oxygen from CuO that starts above 1050°C (10). The loss of SO_3 can occur either as a result of CuSO₄ or PbSO₄ decomposition. Since the temperature range for which the loss of weight appears coincides with $PbSO_4$ and not $CuSO_4$ decomposition, this reveals that the powdered mixture has reacted previously, most probably according to the exchange reaction,

$$CuSO_4 + PbO \rightarrow PbSO_4 + CuO_4$$

Unfortunately, thermogravimetric analysis cannot show the temperature at which this reaction takes place, since it occurs without a change in mass.

Differential Thermal Analysis

DTA curves of both $CuSO_4/\alpha$ -PbO and $CuSO_4/\beta$ -PbO mixtures are given in Figs. 2a-d. When $CuSO_4/\alpha$ -PbO sample is heated at 300°C/hr, the DTA curve shows a large exothermic peak in the range 500-720°C, with a step between 575 and 600°C (Fig. 2a). The X-ray diffraction pattern of the residue at 750°C shows the presence of CuO and PbSO₄. Therefore, this peak can be attributed to the exchange reaction between these solids, which is exothermic, as



FIG. 2. DTA curves: (a) $CuSO_4/\alpha$ -PbO 1/1M; $v = 300^{\circ}C/hr$; (b) $CuSO_4/\alpha$ -PbO 1/1M, $v = 150^{\circ}C/hr$; (c) $CuSO_4/\beta$ -PbO 1/1M, $v = 300^{\circ}C/hr$; (d) $CuSO_4/\beta$ -PbO 1/1M, $v = 150^{\circ}C/hr$; (e) $PbSO_4/PbO$ 1/1M, $v = 300^{\circ}C/hr$; (f) $CuSO_4$, $v = 300^{\circ}C/hr$.

one would expect for a solid-solid reaction (11).

In order to determine the reaction pathway, X-ray diffraction patterns of residues at 580 and 625°C were also obtained. These patterns show the presence of the reactants CuSO₄ and α -PbO as well as final products $PbSO_4$, CuO, and $PbSO_4 \cdot PbO$. At 625°C, on the other hand, PbSO₄, CuO, and $PbSO_4 \cdot PbO$ are the only compounds identified. Since PbSO₄ · PbO requires a contribution of SO₃ to transform PbO into PbSO₄ and CuSO₄ was not detected, gaseous SO_3 may exist in the reaction mass. The reaction between PbO and PbSO₄ to form $PbSO_4 \cdot PbO$ is endothermic (12, 13) (see Fig. 2e) and takes place at lower temperatures (180-480°C) than the exchange reaction (480°C). Furthermore, CuSO₄ starts to decompose at 620°C, as is shown in Fig. 2f. Since the temperature at the maximum of the exothermic peak is 650°C, this means that the exchange reaction has not yet finished when $CuSO_4$ decomposition starts. Therefore, the shape of the exothermic peak is due to overlapping of (a) the exchange reaction, (b) the formation of PbSO₄ · PbO, and (c) the CuSO₄ decomposition.

The DTA curve obtained (Fig. 2b) using a lower heating rate (150°C/hr) shows a single broad exothermic peak between 445°C and 655°C. The amplitude is smaller than the one above (Fig. 2a) recorded at 300°C/hr, but is due to the well-known influence of heating rate on peak areas. The most meaningful differences between peaks in Figs. 2b and 2a are, first, the peak in Fig. 2b is shifted toward lower temperatures and, second, it does not show a shoulder. The end of the exchange reaction may occur before the start of the CuSO₄ decomposition (620°C, see Fig. 2f) since, according to Mackenzie (14), the end of this type of reaction must be between T_m (600°C) and the temperature at which the curve returns to base line (650°). The X-ray diffraction pattern of the residue appearing in Fig. 2b at 580°C shows, as before, the presence of $PbSO_4 \cdot PbO$ as a transitional compound of the exchange reaction.

DTA curves corresponding to β -PbO mixtures with CuSO₄ appear in Figs. 2c and d corresponding to the two different heating rates employed. Note that sharper signals are produced with β -PbO (Figs. 2a-2b) than with its α analog. This exothermic peak becomes smaller and shifts to 510°C (T_m) when the sample is heated more slowly (Fig. 2d). In both of these cases Xray diffraction examination of samples heated before the peak temperature did not give any evidence for PbSO₄ · PbO. This is probably due to the greater reactivity of β -PbO.

Vacuum Furnace

Table I shows that in the case of the

	CuSO ₄	/ α -₽bO	CuSO ₄ /β-PbO	
Atmosphere	300°C/hr	150°C/hr	300°C/hr	150°C/hr
With a stream	18.4	20.9	21.2	21.4
of air passing through	19.9	21.3	20.9	21.2
the sample	17.7	21.2	21.0	21.0
Static open air ^b	21.4		21.0	_
atmosphere	21.2		21.1	
	21.2	_	21.3	—

 TABLE I^a

 SO3 CONTENT (mg) in 100 mg of Sample Heated to 750°C

^a Triplicate samples.

^b Theoretical content.

CuSO₄/ α -PbO mixture, heated at 300°C/hr with air passing through the sample, the SO₃ content in the residue is smaller than in the unheated mixture (theoretical value) and differs from one experiment to another. This means that the stream of air has removed a portion of the SO₃ from the sample during heating. In contrast, all the rest of the experiments show the content of SO₃ to be the same in unheated and in heated samples. Furthermore, the reproducibility in the first case at 300°C with α -PbO is easy to understand since the rate of air flow was not the same for all three experiments.

The combination of DTA results and those shown in Table I demonstrates that gaseous SO₃ may or may not play a role in the reaction depending on the *reactivity of* the PbO, which in our experiments was directly related to the crystallographic form and, in the case of the α -PbO, also with the type of heating of the powder mixture. It can be hypothesized that the gaseous product is formed if the combination of the above-mentioned variables is such that the exchange reaction is not complete before the CuSO₄ decomposition starts.

Isotopic Analysis of Oxygen in the Products of the Reaction

It has been experimentally established that Pb¹⁸O exchanges oxygen with the air

when the mixture $CuSO_4/Pb^{18}O$ is heated in an open atmosphere (15). Therefore, the mixture was heated in an Ar atmosphere in order to perform isotopic analysis of these reactions. One has to additionally consider that the atmosphere has a substantial effect on reactions in which gases are liberated. Thermodynamically, a reaction giving a gaseous product, such as the thermal decomposition of the $CuSO_4 (2SO_3 \rightarrow 2SO_2 +$ O₂), which occurs in a gaseous medium with a given partial pressure of this gas (O_2) , occurs only when the dissociation pressure of the decomposition reaction corresponds to the partial pressure of the gas or exceeds it. The effect of using Ar instead of air is to decrease the partial pressure of O_2 , thus shifting the CuSO₄ dissociation temperature to lower values. It was therefore necessary to determine the choice of the experimental heating conditions under which the exchangeable reaction is finished, such that gaseous SO₃ was not released in the process. In order to determine these conditions, isothermal decomposition curves of CuSO₄ in Ar were recorded at different temperatures and the exchange reaction carried out in Ar stream using the vacuum furnace. From these experiments it has been established that in Ar the mixture should be heated at 150°C/hr to 500°C, and kept at this temperature for 5 hr

Expt.			Intensities of the peaks of mass			(180)	Percentage of
	Compound	44	45	46	$\left(\frac{O}{16O}\right) CO_2$	molecules	
1	{	PbSO₄ CuO	887.0 1255.0	10.5 14.6	20.2 23.7	0.0114 0.00944	3.70 1.47
2	{	PbSO₄ CuO	464.9 1238.5	5.6 14.4	8.4 20.85	0.00905 0.00842	2.77 1.39

TABLE II

Isotopic Analysis of Oxygen on the Products of the Reaction between CuSO₄ and β -PbO in At Atmosphere

for a sample weight of 250 mg.

Under this set of conditions, labeled oxygen was used to study the β -PbO-CuSO₄ exchange reaction. Table II shows the results obtained. It can be seen in the column representing percentage of labeled molecules from CuO and PbSO4 obtained in the exchange reaction that the oxygen label from PbO followed two directions appearing in both CuO and PbSO₄ because both reaction products clearly show an enrichment in ¹⁸O (the normal isotopic oxygen composition should be ${}^{18}O/{}^{16}O = 0.00204$). Because gas phase (SO_3) control has been eliminated here, the only possible way to explain the presence of ¹⁸O in PbSO₄ is to evoke an oxygen ion transport mechanism. The mechanism of ¹⁸O incorporation can be interpreted in terms of the CuSO₄ structure (16). Here, every Cu atom is surrounded by oxygen atoms, each anion occupying the vertices of a very distorted Cu²⁺ octahedron. A distortion therefore also exists in the SO₄ tetrahedra and these distortions combined with the great increase of atomic vibrations due to temperature cause S-O bonds to break (the longest one more easily) and rearrange themselves with an ¹⁸O from PbO, forming PbSO₄ which has a lattice structure of regular tetrahedra with much higher thermal stability.

Conclusion

By studying the $CuSO_4/PbO$ exchange reaction with thermoanalytical and vacuum furnace techniques and by reassuring the transfer of ¹⁸O label from reactants to products, it has been possible to elucidate the mechanism of this reaction. It appears that SO_3 involvement in this reaction depends on the crystalline form of PbO and in the case of α -PbO on the type of heating. For β -PbO, where SO_3 is not involved in reaction pathway, both cationic and oxygen transport are shown to be involved in the reacton mechanism. Previous controversies regarding gas or ionic solid-solid transport mechanisms can be understood in terms of a failure to control heating and/or solid phase reactivities in previous studies. It can be hypothesized that SO_3 is formed if the type of heating and/or solid reactivity is such that the exchange reaction is not complete before CuSO₄ decomposition starts.

References

- J. A. HEDVALL AND J. HEUBERGER. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 122, 181 (1924).
- 2. G. TAMMANN. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 149, 46 (1925).
- 3. J. A. HEDVALL AND J. HEUBERGER. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 128, 7 (1924).

- M. E. POZIN, A. M. GINSTLING, AND V. V. PECHKOVSKII. Zh. Prikl. Khim. 27, 376 (1954).
- 5. M. E. POZIN, A. M. GINSTLING, AND V. V. PECHKOVSKII. Zh. Prikl. Khim. 27, 273 (1954).
- F. BURRIEL-MARTÍ AND MA. E. GARCÍA-CLAVEL. in "Proceedings, 4th Internat. Symp. Reactivity of Solids, Amsterdam," p. 692 (1961).
- 7. E. GARCÍA-CLAVEL AND I. TEJEDOR-TEJEDOR. Ann. Quim. 72, 349 (1976).
- E. GARCÍA-CLAVEL, I. TEJEDOR-TEJEDOR, AND F. BURRIEL-MARTÍ. "Thermal Analysis" (Akademiai Kiado, Ed.) Vol. 1, p. 541. Budapest (1974).
- P. PASCAL, "Nouveu Traité de Chimie Minérale," Vol. VIII, pp. 689. Masson, Paris (1963).
- 10. C. DUVAL. "Inorganic Thermogravimetric Anal-

ysis," 2nd ed., p. 374. Elsevier, Amsterdam (1963).

- H. SCHMALZRIED. "Treatise on Solid State Chemistry" (N. Bruce Hannay, Ed.), Vol. 4, p. 234. Plenum, New York/London (1976).
- E. V. MARGULIS, N. I. KOPYLOV, AND A. E. KARNAUKHOV. Sborn. Nauch. Trud. Veseoyuz. Nauch. Issledovatel. Gornomet. Inst. Isvetn. Met. 6, 183 (1960); Chem. Abstr. 56, 3107c (1962).
- 13. J. J. LANDER. Trans. Elec. Soc. 95, 174 (1949).
- 14. R. C. MACKENZIE, "Differential Thermal Analysis" (R. C. Mackenzie, Ed.), Vol. 1, p. 9. Academic Press, London/New York (1972).
- 15. I. TEJEDOR-TEJEDOR. Tesis doctoral, Universidad Complutense de Madrid (1974).
- 16. B. RAMA RAO, Acta Crystallogr. 14, 321 (1961).